



COMMENTARY

# Forestry, Land-Use Change, and Canada's Carbon Trajectory: An IPAT/Kaya Decomposition

LENNON TREFFRY

THOMPSON RIVERS UNIVERSITY

Canada's commitment to its Paris Climate targets was confirmed on record by Prime Minister Mark Carney during the 2025 budget vote. Despite this the road toward meeting these targets remains in question (Curry & Levitz, 2025). While Canada's projections and public accounting of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions show reductions since the 2015 Paris Climate Summit, the Canadian government's publicly reported accounting omits Land Use, Land Use Change, and Forestry (LULUCF) (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2025). In this analysis, I apply the IPAT/Kaya identity to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions decomposed into population (P), affluence (A: GDP per capita), and carbon intensity (T: CO<sub>2</sub>/GDP) to examine the impact of including net fluxes from forest land and deforestation. Two series are compared: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions excluding LULUCF (includes fossil fuel and industrial sources) and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions including LULUCF (adding net fluxes from forest land and deforestation specifically). This comparison shows the influence land-use changes and forests have on our ability to mitigate climate change and highlights their significance in Canada's efforts to meet global climate commitments.

Globally, recent findings show that in 2023, terrestrial carbon sinks weakened to their lowest point since 2003, largely due to temperature anomalies, forest degradation, and increased fire frequency (Ke et al., 2024). This trend is only exacerbated by evidence that terrestrial carbon sinks are becoming less effective under increasing temperatures, resulting in



more CO<sub>2</sub> remaining in the atmosphere; in 2024 alone, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations rose by a record 3.5 ppm (United Nations, 2025). Looking at Canadian forests specifically, the global trend is reinforced by the fact that industrial harvest, insect outbreaks, and wildfires have shifted managed forests from net sinks to net sources several times in recent years (Kurz et al., 2018). This is confirmed by the results of this IPAT analysis, which highlights how Canada's apparent decarbonization trajectory diverges depending on whether forestry and land-use changes are included.

From 2000 to 2014, fossil and industrial CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (excluding LULUCF) increased slightly, at approximately 0.48% per year, but this trajectory changes significantly when forest land and deforestation fluxes are included, as net CO<sub>2</sub> emissions rise by +1.26% per year. This difference occurs because the forest land sink, although still negative, weakened during the period. However, part of the slow growth in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during this period is not due to policy initiatives but to the impact of the recession from 2008 to 2010, with 2010 reflecting the economy rebounding. When the great recession period is excluded, emissions increased by 1.13% per year excluding LULUCF and by 2.35% per year with LULUCF. In 2000, Canada's forests absorbed more carbon, but by 2014 their capacity to do so had declined. As a result, even though overall CO<sub>2</sub> levels remain lower when forestry is included, the trend changes from a decrease to an increase, offsetting modest reductions from fossil fuel emissions.

In efficiency terms, carbon intensity excluding LULUCF fell sharply (-1.55% per year), but with forestry included, the decline slowed to -0.78% per year. From 2015–2022, the post-Paris period, fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> did not grow (-0.05% per year), possibly reflecting efficiency gains, policy interventions, and structural economic shifts. When including forestry fluxes, emissions also appear nearly flat (~0%/yr). However, removing the 2020 COVID-19 year and the 2021 rebound, emissions continued to increase with and without LULUCF at rates of 1.51% and 1.85% respectively. Intensities fell in both cases, but again more sharply excluding forestry (-1.93% per year vs -1.88% per year). Over the entire 2000–2022 period, fossil CO<sub>2</sub> fell at -0.82% per year, while net CO<sub>2</sub> rose at +0.84% per year once forestry was included.

**Table 1: Compound Annual Growth Rates (CAGR) for 2000–2014 (Pre-Paris Emissions targets), 2015–2022 (Post-Paris Emissions Targets), and 2000–2022 (Cumulative Period)**

Period	Period Excl. (%)	CO <sub>2</sub> Excl. (%)	CO <sub>2</sub> Incl. (%)	Population (%)	GDP per Cap (%)	Intensity Excl. (%)	Intensity Incl. (%)
<b>2000–2014</b>	+0.48	+1.26	+1.03	+1.01	-1.55	-0.78	+0.48
<b>2000–2014 (Excl. 2008–2010)</b>	+1.12	+2.35	+1.01	+1.50	-1.37	-0.17	+1.12
<b>2015–2022</b>	-0.05	≈0.00%	+1.25	+0.67	-1.93	-1.88	-0.05
<b>2015–2022 (Excl. 2020–2021)</b>	+1.51	+1.85	+1.15	+2.58	-1.04	-0.71	+1.51

**Note.** All data used in calculations were taken from the World Bank (2025). Population, total, (SP.POP.TOTL); GDP per capita (constant LCU); carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions (total) excluding LULUCF. Total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions including LULUCF = carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions (total) excluding LULUCF + carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) net fluxes from LULUCF (forest land) + carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) net fluxes from LULUCF (deforestation). Emissions are measured in Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e.

As CO<sub>2</sub> accounted for 79% of Canada’s GHG emissions in 2023, reducing these emissions is critical for achieving national climate targets (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2025). The inclusion of forest land and deforestation fluxes reverses Canada’s apparent progress, changing its CO<sub>2</sub> trajectory from negative to positive. This illustrates that forestry and land-use change fundamentally alter the country’s climate narrative.

Recent extremes only further underscore the need for accurate accounting, as Canada’s 2023 wildfires burned 7.8 million hectares, yet these fluxes remain excluded from official greenhouse gas reporting (Macarthy et al., 2024). To put this into perspective, this amounts to seven times the average annual burn area of the previous four decades, and emitted an estimated 647 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>—an amount almost equal to India’s total annual fossil fuel emissions in the same year (Wang et al., 2024; Byrne et al., 2024). These emissions were not included in the IPAT analysis, but their inclusion would only paint a more dire picture.

In Canada, the trend of weakening forest carbon sinks is significantly impacted by clear-cutting practices and a lack of integrated land-use planning that aligns forest management with multi-value objectives (Messier et al., 2016). Recent analysis by Polanyi et al. (2024) estimates logging-related emissions at 147 Mt in 2022, placing the sector as the third-largest emitting

sector in the country. Studies have shown that increased harvest intensity (clearcuts being the most extreme) leads to higher carbon emissions, as well as declining biodiversity which further impacts the forest's capacity to sequester and store carbon (Simard et al., 2020). To mitigate this, forest management practices must evolve. Recent research supports this, and Cheng et al. (2024) argue that conserving and promoting functionally diverse forests (especially in resource-rich environments) can significantly improve both carbon and nitrogen sequestration. This is further reinforced by Griscom et al. (2017), who found that improved forest stewardship could potentially provide 37% of the carbon mitigation needed for stabilizing global warming below 2°C by 2040.

The implications outlined here, and further supported by the IPAT analysis, confirm that Canada's climate progress, as measured against Paris Agreement targets, depends not only on reducing fossil fuel emissions but also on stabilizing the health and resilience of its forests. Evidence from Natural Resources Canada's 2024 report on Canadian forest carbon emissions supports the conclusion that without improved management and restoration, Canada's forests will remain net carbon sources for the foreseeable future. The Stern Review (2006) and OECD (2021) both emphasize that investing in forest conservation and restoration represents a highly cost-effective mitigation strategy relative to industrial decarbonization. Integrating nature-based solutions could therefore help Canada reduce total CO<sub>2</sub> at a lower marginal abatement cost while supporting biodiversity and forest resilience. Beyond this, adopting alternative harvesting methods that release less carbon and preserve biodiversity is necessary to mitigate carbon emissions while restorative actions are implemented (Messier et al. 2016). As forests are a vital component in Canada's effort to achieve its 40% emission reduction by 2030, and net-zero by 2050 (Government of Canada 2025), it is imperative that forest management practices are reformed to reflect this reality.

## Limitations

This identity decomposition does not establish causality but identifies patterns of association among population, economic growth, and emissions intensity. Differences between World Bank flux estimates and Canada's national inventory are expected due to variations in scope and methodology. As noted by Kurz et al. (2013, 2018) and the FAO (2020), disturbances such as wildfire, pine beetle infestation, and deforestation have significantly altered Canada's forest carbon dynamics. These events are not individually isolated here, but they illustrate the importance of prioritizing the health of Canadian forests and accurately recognizing forestry's

role in national CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation. Future research could integrate time-series data from the Global Carbon Budget and NRC models to reconcile global and national carbon-accounting discrepancies.

---

## Acknowledgment

The author wrote, conceptualized, conducted the data analysis, and edited this paper and takes full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and interpretation of the results. The author used [Chat GPT](#) (OpenAI, 2025) to assist with formatting and interpreting author-provided data for the IPAT/Kaya decomposition table. All analytical decisions, interpretations, and conclusions are solely those of the author. Any remaining errors, biases, or omissions are the author's responsibility and not those of AI tools.

---

## References

- Byrne, B., Liu, J., Bowman, K. W., Pascolini-Campbell, M., Chatterjee, A., Pandey, S., Miyazaki, K., van der Werf, G. R., Wunch, D., Wennberg, P. O., Roehl, C. M., & Sinha, S. (2024). Carbon emissions from the 2023 Canadian wildfires. *Nature*, 633(8022), 835–839. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07878-z>
- Chen, X., Reich, P. B., Taylor, A. R., An, Z., & Chang, S. X. (2024). Resource availability enhances positive tree functional diversity effects on carbon and nitrogen accrual in natural forests. *Nature Communications*, 15(8615). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-53004-y>
- Curry, B. & Levitz, S. (2025, November 17). Carney government survives confidence vote on federal budget. *The Globe and Mail*. <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/politics/article-liberal-government-survives-confidence-vote-on-federal-budget>
- Environment and Climate Change Canada. (2025, March 21). *Greenhouse gas sources and sinks in Canada: Executive summary 2025*. Government of Canada. <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/climate-change/greenhouse-gas-emissions/sources-sinks-executive-summary-2025.html>
- Government of Canada. (2025, June 2). *How forests and forest products can help meet Canada's climate goals*. Natural Resources Canada. <https://prod-natural-resources.azure.cloud.nrcan-rncan.gc.ca/climate-change/climate-change-impacts-forests/forests-products-help-meet-canada-climate-goals>
- Griscom, B. W., Adams, J., Ellis, P. W., Houghton, R. A., Lomax, G., Miteva, D. A., Schlesinger, W. H., Shoch, D., Siikamäki, J. V., Smith, P., Woodbury, P., Zganjar, C., Blackman, A., Campari, J., Conant, R. T., Delgado, C., Elias, P., Gopalakrishna, T., Hamsik, M. R., Herrero, M., ... Fargione,

- J. (2017). Natural climate solutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(44), 11645–11650. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114>
- FAO. (2020). *Global forest resources assessment 2020 (FRA 2020)*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <https://www.fao.org/interactive/forest-resources-assessment/2020/en/>
- Kurz, W. A., Hayne, S., Fellows, M., MacDonald, J. D., Metsaranta, J. M., Hafer, M., & Blain, D. (2018). Quantifying the impacts of human activities on reported greenhouse gas emissions and removals in Canada's managed forest: Conceptual framework and implementation. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 48(10), 1227–1240. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2018-0176>
- MacCarthy, J., Glen, E., Tyukavina, A., Weisse, M. J., & Harris, N. (2024). Extreme wildfires in Canada and their contribution to global loss in tree cover and carbon emissions in 2023. *Global Change Biology*, 30(6), e17392. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17392>
- Messier, C.; Puettmann, K.J.; Filotas, E., & Coates, K.D. (2016). Dealing with non-linearity and uncertainty in forest management. *Current Forestry Reports*, 2(2), 150–161. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-016-0036-x>
- Natural Resources Canada. (2024). Is Canada's forest a carbon sink or source? [PDF]. *Canadian Forest Service Science Policy Note*. [https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2025/rncan-nrcan/Fo4-235-2024-eng.pdf](https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2025/rncan-nrcan/Fo4-235-2024-eng.pdf)
- OECD. (2021). *OECD Environmental Outlook to 2050*. The consequences of inaction. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. [https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-environmental-outlook-to-2050\\_9789264122246-en.html](https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-environmental-outlook-to-2050_9789264122246-en.html)
- Ke, P., Ciais, P., Sitch, S., Li, W., Bastos, A., Liu, Z., Xu, Y., Gui, X., Bian, J., Goll, D. S., Xi, Y., Li, W., O'Sullivan, M., Goncalves De Souza, J., Friedlingstein, P., & Chevallier, F. (2024). Low latency carbon budget analysis reveals a large decline of the land carbon sink in 2023. *National Science Review*, 11(12), nwae367. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwae367>
- Polanyi, M., Skene, J., & Simard, A.-A. (2024, September). *2024 Logging emissions update: Reported GHG emissions from logging in Canada double after revision to government data* [PDF]. Nature Canada, NRDC, and Nature Québec. <https://naturecanada.ca/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/2024-Logging-Emissions-Update-Report.pdf>
- Simard, S. W., Roach, W. J., Defrenne, C. E., Pickles, B. J., Snyder, E. N., Robinson, A., & Lavkulich, L. M. (2020). Harvest intensity effects on carbon stocks and biodiversity are dependent on regional climate in Douglas-fir forests of British Columbia. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 3(88). <https://doi.org/10.3389/figc.2020.00088>
- Stern, N. (2006). *The economics of climate change: The Stern review*. Cambridge University Press.
- United Nations. (2025, October 15). Record rise in carbon dioxide levels during 2024 [Audio]. Audio Credit: Ana Carmo, UN News. *UN News Today*. <https://news.un.org/en/audio/2025/10/1166113>
- Wang, Z., Wang, Z., Zou, Z., Chen, X., Wu, H., Wang, W., Su, H., Li, F., Xu, W., Liu, Z., & Zhu, J. (2023). Severe global environmental issues caused by Canada's record-breaking wildfires in 2023. *Advances in Atmospheric Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-023-3241-0>
- World Bank. (2025). Canada: Country profile and development indicators. World Bank Group. World Bank. (n.d.-a). Indonesia Data. Retrieved September 15, 2025, from <https://data.worldbank.org/country/indonesia>
-

## Author

**Lennon Treffry** is graduate student completing his MSc in Environmental Economics and Management. He holds a Bachelor of Arts in Philosophy from Dalhousie University and a Graduate Diploma in Business Administration from Thompson Rivers University. Drawing on over a decade of experience in forestry-related work, his current research focuses on the socio-economic impacts of natural resource management, natural asset and ecosystem service valuations, and integrated assessment modeling.